

Research Planning & Editing: Remote Work Draft Review

Upper-Division Undergraduate · APA 7th Edition · Demonstration review and edit report

Portfolio demonstration: This educational sample was created to show service quality. It is not customer work and should not be submitted as a student's assignment.

Research direction review

The student-authored draft asks how remote work has affected urban commercial office demand, but its current scope moves between national vacancy trends, individual employer policy, transit use, and downtown retail. The question will be more manageable if it focuses on Class A and Class B office demand in three named U.S. metropolitan areas from 2020 through 2025.

The provisional thesis can remain qualified: remote work appears to have created a structural shift in office demand, but the magnitude varies by city, building class, employer policy, and adaptive-reuse capacity. That claim leaves room for evidence to complicate the argument.

Evidence and source map

Market evidence should include vacancy, leasing, absorption, and valuation data from clearly dated reports. Policy evidence should cover zoning, conversion incentives, and transit impacts. Scholarly sources should explain agglomeration economics, remote-work productivity, and the relationship between office concentration and downtown activity.

The review does not treat suggested databases or source categories as verified findings. Each statistic must be traced to the original report, and differences in geography, building class, and measurement period must be retained when the student compares markets.

Editorial reasoning and next actions

The opening was tightened by replacing a broad narrative about the pandemic with the specific research problem. Background on remote-work adoption now appears before market consequences, and the policy section follows the evidence instead of appearing as an unsupported solution.

Next actions: lock the three comparison cities, verify consistent market metrics, draft one evidence table, revise the methods paragraph to explain comparison limits, and then check that every source-based claim has an APA in-text citation and matching reference entry.